

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,169	06/26/2001	Simon Tsang	219.39511X00	1367	
7	590 04/14/2006		EXAM	INER	
STEVE YATES C/O BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			CHANKON	CHANKONG, DOHM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS AŅGELE	S, CA 90025		2152	2152	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/200	6 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/891,169	TSANG ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dohm Chankong	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition for the periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths.</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o I. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F I).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), 'to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further concept.  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			ine issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		gected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-13,15 and 16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u>	<u>not</u> be entered

## Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

> BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT PATENT EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendment raises new issues. In particular, claim 1 now require that the intended recipients of a translation step are the CIM client application AND the DMI component instrumentations. The previous iteration of the claim only required the CIM client application OR the DMI component (not both). Thus, the amendment raises a new issue that would require further consideration and is not entered.

Additionally, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant emphasizes in his remarks that McCollum's CIMON "acts as a proxy on behalf of clietn process requests". Applicant does not explain the relevance of this assertion in regards to the claim. By implication, it seems that Applicant is suggesting the McCollum's CIMOM is not in communication with client applications. However, this is not accurate. McCollum clearly discloses CIMOM that is in communication with client applications [Figure 3 «items 62, 64»], a DMI service provider [Figure 3 «items 66(3)» | column 5 «line 65» to column 6 «line 2»], DMI component instrumentations [column 6 «lines 3-33»]. Smart discloses the CIM|DMI provider that enables communications between both CIM and DMI devices.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant's amendment will not be entered and the remarks are not persuasive. The application is not in condition for allowance.